To solve the problem of drinking water, it is stated that the Deputy Commissioner, Bidar,has already issued instruc'ions to acquire the land in question for house sites so that the Pit well could be converted into a proper well with steining and declared as a public well by Government. The police has also been alerted by the Deputy Commissioner and a close watch has been kept. The situation is stated to be peaceful now.

SRI MANIK RAO PATIL.—On the complaint on the land lord criminal proceedings have been instituted against number of harijans and Christians. I would like to know from the Government in view of the statement, will the Government think of withdrawing all those proceedings against Harijans and Christians ?

SRI K. PRABHAKAR.—The Government will take every action as it is stated that the situation is quiet and the problem is under control and the said land is proposed for acquisition.

SRI MANIK RAO PATIL —My specific question is that during the course of this dispute number of criminal proceedings have been instituted against Harijans of the village. Now the Government has come to the conclusion that the matter has been settled and the well is going to be acquired for public use. In view of the situation will the Government withdraw all those proceedings which have been instituted against them?

SRI K. PRABHAKAR.—The matter will be examined and the best will be done.

SRI MANIK RAO PATIL.—I do not understand the word 'Examination' when the Government has agreed that everything is okay. Therefore, what it is the difficulty in withdrawing those criminal proceedings when Harijans are suffering every day by attending court ?

SRI K. PRABHAKAR,—Now the hon. Member has brought the matter to the notice of the Government. While withdrawing the cases it cannot be readily accepted without examining the nature and the circumstances of the case. With all sympathy, I can say that the situation will be examined and the best will be done in the interest of the Sufferers.

re : reported assault on an electrician at the Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospital, Bangalore.

SRI GOVIND P. VADEYARAJ (Kamalapur).—Madam Speaker, I wish to call the attention of the Minister for Health and Family Welfare, to the reported assault on an electrictian at the Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospital, Bangalore.

SRI M. MALLAPPA (Minister for Health and Family Welfare) —I wish to make the following statement.

A news item appeared in PRAJAVANI as well as in DECCAN-HERALD dated Twentyfirst May 1979 pertaining to the alleged humiliation and assault to an electrician in the Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals for having passed some remarks against Shri Sanjay Gandhi. The details of the incident as reported by the Super intendent of the Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospital are as follows:—

1. Shri Venkateshappa, M.L.A., Bethamangala, was an in-patient in Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals from 4-5-1979 to 11-5-1979. During this period he was in Intensive Coronary Care Ward from 4-5-1979 to 7-5-1979 when this alleged incident took place.

2. On 5-5-1979 one Shri Chandrasekharappa, Electrician, Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals was doing routine checking of electrical fittings at about 6.30 P.M. After attending to his work this electrician was talking loudly about politics and the Staff Nurse Smt. Rebello who was on duty, requested him not to disturb the patients specially when it is an emergency ward. But Shri Chandrasekharappa did not heed to her advise and continued to ventilate his views on politics. At this juncture, M.L.A., Shri Venkateshappa requested the electrician to heed to the advise of the nurse and maintain the peace of the Intensive Coronary Care Ward. He was also requested not to talk about politics while on duty in the Government premises. The electrician did not relish this and told the M.L.A., that he should mind his own business. For this the M.L.A., has filed a complaint petition in the Commercial Street Police Station, through his friends on the same day. When this was brought to the notice of the Superintendent by the Resident Medical officer, he advice the R.M.O. not to takeany precipitaet action and he would have the matter inquired into next day. The Matron, Shri Chandrasekharappa, and R. M. O. along with nurse were called for by the Superintendent. All these officials, except Shri Chandrasekharappa were inquired. Shri Chandrasekharappa did not meet the Superintendent and hence a memo was issued to him by the Superintendent. According to the Matron, Shri Chandrasekharappa is in the habit of frequently talking on political matters in the ward with the patients. This electrician, because of his refusal to see the Superintendent had to be given a memo in this regard.

3. According to the R.M.O. Shri Chandrasekharappa did meet him and also Shri Venkateshappa, M.L.A. and requested the M. L. A. to withdraw his complaint to the Police. For this the M.L A. appeared to have made him sit down and shared coffee with the electrician and advised the official to conduct himself properiy.

The M.L.A. also told that be on his part cannot withdrew the complaint. However, he would not pursue the matter.

4. The allegation in the Press that Shri Chandrasekharappa was assaulted is not Borne out by the facts. There is no evidence in the Accident Register of Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals of any external injuries, even though he has attended the Casualty Dept. of the Hospital. Actually he has attended the duty the the following day. He has complained to Superintendent, R.M.O. or Matron of any assault on him. There is also no truth in the allegation that pressure was brought to bear on this electrician to apologise to the M.L.A. by any officials of the hospital, as the memo was served on him only on 21-5-1979 at about 10-00 A. M. when the reports had already appear in the Press.

5. From the above it is clear that there is no truth about the threat or intimidation of Shri Chandrasekharappa by M.L.A. Shri Venkateshappa. There has not between any pressure on the part of the officers of the hospital brought to bear on him to apologise. Of course, action has been initiated on the allegations against this official regarding his alleged talking frequently about politics while on duty including in the wards where silence is needed.

10. 00 A. M.

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ.— Madam Speaker, I am really very sorry to hear the statement from the Hon. Minister. It is a case wherein the government has tried its level best to protect the interests of the Congress (I) M.L.A. and made use of the hospital authorities to report in this fashion. This is my allegation. Madam Speaker, you may have also read in the newspapers and I have ascertained it is a fact that the electrician did not talk loudly. Can you believe this ? In a hospital, can an official talk about politics so loudly ? Is it possible anywhere ? Casually, the electrician remarked about Mr. Sanjay Gandhi and said that he should not re-enter politics. The hospital authorities have made use of that poor nurse to say like this; it is a travesty of truth. He casually remarked about Sanjay Gandhi. On that the M.L.A. and the hospital authorities have got enraged. It shows that the M.L.A. is not prepared to tolerate anything said about Sanjay Gandhi who is the person responsible for bringing in emergency in this country. He is not official concerned with Congress (I).

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.— We are not talking about Sanjay Gandhi. We are discussing about the calling attention.

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ.-- When a poor man talks about Sanjay Gandhi these people get enraged.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.-- There is no need to discuss about Sanjay Gandhi.

SRI GOVIDA P. VADEYARAJ.-- Authoritarian forces in this State under Congress (I) are not prepared to tolerate the remarks about Sanjay Gandhi. Who are they ? What business had the hospital authorities to treat that poor electrician like this ? Are they prepared to institute an enquiry by some one other than hospital authorities, because the hospital authorities are under pressure of the Government or M.L.A. who made them give this kind of statement ? I press the Government to institute an enquiry into this affair to elicit the truth. I want to know whether the Hon. Minister knows the meaning of the word 'assault'. He agrees that assault was committed on that person. He says: "there is no evidence in the Accident Register of Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals of any external injuries, even though he has attended the Casualty Dept. of the Hospital." An assault need not be injurious also. That means he has been assaulted. He may not have received external injuries, but madam, these goondas have beaten this poor electrician.

SRI M MALLAPPA.— I would like to know whether he has preferred any complaint to Mr. Vadeparaj. If he has given complaint, I will take action.

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ.— Assault was committed by the goondas hired by Congress (I) people.

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.— He cannot say that. He is casting aspersions on my party.

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ.— They have been hired by Congress(I) people. How dare they enter the hospital ?

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.— I protest.

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ.-- Your party people are involved.

SRI K BHASKAR NAIDU.-- Dont say they are goondas and all that.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.-- The member need not cast aspersions on a particular party. He may only ask for clarifications.

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ.-- Assult has been commited. Who has commited this assault ? I want to know whether the hospital authorities or the employees of the hospital or the persons from outside have done it. If the persons have rome from outside, how dare they enter the hospital premises and how dare they commit an assault on the employee of the hospital. I want to know all these things.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.— ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ವಿವರಣೆ ಏನಿದೆ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟ್ಸ ಏನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಏನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಾಮಕ್ಕಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ.— ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಹೇಳಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.— ನೀವು ಸಾಧಾರಣವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂತಹ ವಿವರವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ವಿವರ ನಮಗೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ನಾವು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ನಿಮ್ಮಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಲಹರಣ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಬ್ಬ ಸಾಧಾರಣ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ. ತಾವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯವನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವತ್ತು ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿ ಯಾರು ? ಅವರನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ ತಪ್ಪು ಏನು ? ಅವರು ಯಾವ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.— ಅದಕ್ಕೂ ಇದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಏನಿದೆ ?

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Sri Govinda P. Vadeyaraj.— Why are you so touch,

Madam Deputy Speaker.—I request the hon Members not to speak simultaneously.....

Sri U. B. Borker.—You can ask them also.....

Madam Deputy Speaker.— I Would request the hon. Member not to question the Chair. I shall ask them also not to speak. You have no business to tell the Chair. This is not the way to behave.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ.— ಅವರೇ ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿಯವರನ್ನು ಕೈ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನೀವು ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ಇದ್ದುಬಿಡಿ.

Madam Deputy Speaker.— I request the Members not to bring in the name of Mr. Sanjay Gandhi or others. They can seek only the clarifications from the Hon. Minister.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮ್‌ದೇವ್.— ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತತ್ವದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವ ಕರೂ ರಾಜಕೀಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜಕಾರಣ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ರಾಜಕೀಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದು ರಾಜಕಾರಣ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿಯವರು ಯಾವ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲೂ ರಾಜಕಾರಣದಲ್ಲೂ ಇಲ್ಲಾ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳು ತ್ತೀರಿ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಇನ್ನು ಎರಡನೆಯದಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಗಳು ರಾಜಕೀಯಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರವೇತ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು. ರಾಜ್ಯದ ರಾಜಕಾರಣದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದ ರಾಜಕಾರಣದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡತಕ್ಕ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ? ಅವರು ಓಟು ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ? ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ. ಇನ್ನು ಮೂರನೆಯದಾಗಿ ಅವರು ಆಗಾಗ ರಾಜಕಾರಣ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಅವರು ರಾಜಕಾರಣ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟ್ಸ ಅಂಡ್ ಫಿಗರ್ಸ್ ಇದೆಯೆ ? ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ದರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ರಾಜಕೀಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇಂತಹ ದಿವಸ ಇಂಥ ರಾಜಕಾರಣಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ? ಅದು ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಬಡ ನಾಲ್ಕನೇ ದರ್ಜೆಯ ನೌಕರನ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಆಪಾದನೆ ಹೊರಿಸುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ ? ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಎಂ.ಎಲ್.ಎ. ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಹೇಳೋಣ. ಅದು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರನ ಮೇಲೆ ದೂರು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ವಾದ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ್.— ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರತಕ್ಕ ಎರಡು ವಿಚಾರಗಳು ಒಂದಕ್ಕೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂಟ್ರಡಿಕ್ಟರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಮೊದಲನೆಯದು Sri Chandrasekharappa did not meet the Superintendent and hence a memo was issued to him by the Superintendent ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಹಾಗೂ ಎರಡನೆಯದಾಗಿ he has attended the casualty Department of the Hospital ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೊಂದು ತಾರತಮ್ಯ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನೋ ಕಾರಣ ವನ್ನು ಹುಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವನು ವಾರ್ಡ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲು ಧ್ವನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ ನ್ನಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹೈದ್ರೋಗದ ವಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಯಾರೂ ಎತ್ತರಿಸಿದ ಧ್ವನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಆದರೂ ಇವನು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಎತ್ತರಿಸಿದ ಧ್ವನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನವೂ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದನೆ? ಇವನು ಈ ವಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಗಟ್ಟಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾನೆಂದು ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಅವನಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಾರದೆಂದು ವಾರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ? ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್‌ಗಳಿಂದ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಂಪ್ಲೇಂಟ್ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ? ಯಾವುದೋ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳು ವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ನಿಮ್ಮಲ್ಲೇ ಈಗ ಎರಡು ಭಾಗ ಇದೆ. ಒಂದು ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿ ಪರ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ವಿರೋಧ. ಅಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಂತಹ ಶಾಸಕರು ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿ ಪರವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರು ಸಂಜಯ ಗಾಂಧಿ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯವೇ ಬರುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ ಭಾಸ್ಕರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.— ನಾವು ಯಾವಾಗ ಭಾಗವಾಗಿದ್ದೀವಿ ಎಂಬುದು ನಿಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೆ ? ನಿಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಾಗಿರುವುದನ್ನು ನೀವು ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ್. — ಆ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್-ಐ ಶಾಸಕರು ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿಯವರಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಶಹಬಾಸ್‌ಗಿರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—Madam, it appears as though some people including this particular M.L.A. does not seem to have over come the hanger of emergency. I may briefly tell you we are not bringing in the name of Mr. Sanjay Gandhi to this limited question, We are not making allegations against him. The sum and substance of this instance is thus ; The electrician appeared to have casually talking to his colleague and stated why Mr. Sanjay Gandhi should meddle in politics. This is the context in which his name is brought in. We are not making any allegations against him and we are also not interested in making allegations. But it involves a question which should be borne in mind and it is a very serious question. Madam, he is a Government servant and he must have casually talking to his fellow worker. I can understand being a Government servant if he was talking about Sri Devaraj Urs or Sri M. Mallappa. But he has not done so. He talks so many things under the sun including this also. Mr. Sanjay Gandhi is a citizen of this country and he was convicted by the Court of law. He has preferred an appeal against the convication. My submission is we are not interested to involve his name in this question. All that we are trying to know is this The Hon. Minister Sri M Mallappa totally denies the incident. It appears the Hon Minister is making out a case of total denial, But his own statement it appears this is not so. I will ask these clarifications. Madam, there is a statement "continued to ventilate

his views on politics". If that is so, is this Superintendent of Bowring Hospital issued any notice to Sri Chandrashekharappa earlier to this not to indulge in politics? That is No. 1.

Secondly, according to the Statement the M.L.A. as preferred a complaint through his friend to the Commercial Street Police Station. If that is so, is that complaint in writing and f r what offences he has preferred the complaint against Sri Chandrashekharappa ?

What are the political matters that Sri Chandrashekharappa was talking aloud in the hospital? To say that he was talking about politics is a bald statement. Anything under the sun can be brought under 'politics'. What was the subject matter of politics he was indulging in or alleged to be indulging in ? The Minister says that the assault on Chandrashekharappa is not borne out by facts. The Minister's statement itself admits that he has attended the casuality department of the hospital. That means he has gone there for treatment. Why does he go there for fun ? The Hon. Minister Sri Mallappa is mistaken. He was working in the intensive care unit where Sri Venkateshappa was under treatment. When he has attended the casuality department of the hospital, it means by implication that he has gone there for treatment. Therefore, it is not denied. The notice on Chandrashekharappa is sought to be served o ly after the appearance of this news item in the newspaper. Therefore, in order to cover up the entire story this action appears to have been thought of and concocted because it pertains to a person who happens to be an hon. Member of this House. These are the points on which I seek clarification from the Hon. Minister.

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.—I want to know whether it is a fact that Sri Sanjay Gandhi has been convicted ; otherwise, reference to that word hould be expunged.

SRI S.R. BOMMAI.—He has been convicted by a court and an appeal is pending. Therefore he is a convict, until he is acquitted.

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.—He cannot be called a convict. At the worst he may be called an accused. I understand a stay order has been issued. So, I would like to have a ruling from you, Madam, on this point.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಳ್ಳೂರು ಆನಂದರಾವ್.—ಗಮನ ಸೆಳೆಯುವ ಸೂಚನೆಗೆ ಯಾರ್ಯಾರು ಸಹಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೊ ಅವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟೀಕರಣ ಕೇಳಬೇಕು. ಉಳಿದವರಿಗೂ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟೀಕರಣ .ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಾದರೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.- Everybody is sidetracking the main question and is concentrating on Sanjay Gandhi. There was no need to say that he is a convict and all that. Unnecessarily that boy has been brought into the picture. I am so surprised. I would request the Hon, Minister to give the clarification.

SRI M. MALLAPPA.—Sri Chandrashekharappa the electrician has not given any complaint about assault on him by goondas as alleged by the hon. Member Sri Lakshmisagar. He has not given any complaint either oral or in writing. After this incident took place he did not attend the hospital the next day but he applied for leave and so the memo could not be served on him till the 20th. Then only he was found and the memo has been served. The fact that he has visited the casuality ward does not mean that he has visited for the purpose of treatment. He must have gone there to attend to his work. He is a Government servant and he is expected to do the duty assigned to him. During office hours he is not expected to talk about politics. Nobody is concerned about Sanjay Gandhi ent ring or not entering politics and when it is a hospital it is the duty of an official to maintain silence when patients are in the casuality ward. What made him talk so loudly about Sanjay Gandhi. In the hospital he is not expected to talk either about Sri Sanjay Gandhi or Sri Bommai or Sri Lakshmisagar. He must know his bounds and should not indulge in these things. So far as the M.L.A. is concerned, I must congratulate him for conducting himself so well that he advised him that he should not talk about politics. Then as a citizen he has sent a complaint petition to the Commercial Street police station about the alleged incident and the matter is under investigation and if any assault has been made as alleged by my hon. Friends, he should have given a complaint to the hospital authoriti s or to the police station so that it could have been enquired into. Some hospital officials have stated that this officials was in the habit of talking politics and he has been orally warned several times. In spite of that he has not shed this habit. That is why this incident has taken place, He has also requested the M.L.A. to take back the complaint petition. As a responsible person, the M.L.A. has given a complaint and he stated that he was not going to withdraw it but would not pursue it. Let the complaint petition before the police be investigated and if there is an assault and if he has given a complaint, as per law let us also proceed with the petition and know the truth about the allegation.

SRI GOVINDA P. WADEYARAJ.—Madam, no clarification has been given by the Hon. Minister.

10-30 A.M.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—I am glad the Minister has admitted the fact that he was talking about Sri Sanjaya Gandhi and therefore it is politics. Madam Speaker he is an ordinary citizen of this country.

The other day Sri R. V. Swaminathan Congress President of Tamilnadu Congres (I) has gone to the extent of saying that the Prime Minister is a dictator of the country. Nobody found fault with it. I can understand if a Government servant talks about any functionary in the Government a minister or legislator, Is there no freedom in the country to talk about a person who is equally placed ? Why so much importance is still being given to an allleged talk about Sanjay Gandhi No cognisance is taken against a person who is holding the presidentship of an organisation, when he calls the Prime Minister as a dictator. Why this disease is still projecting itself from the emergency days to this day. This is the crux of the problem. Politics is not defined. What is politics ? A Government servant can he not talk about things, men and matters? I can understand if he had talked about Sri Basavalingappa or somebody in the Government. But while doing this duty can he not mention the name of a person? Is it prohibited under the law of this country? This is a disease which is projecting itself even after two years of emergency into State politics and people in high position want to take a revenge or vengeance against such Government servants, This is the crux of the problem which the Hon. Minister has not answered.

SRI M. MALLAPPA.—He should not involve himself directly or indirectly in p litics while in service.

SRI A, LAKSHMISAGAR.—What is politics?

SRI M. MALLAPPA.—He is duty bound to maintain silence. Accordingly Government will take disciplainary action after enquiry

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮುದೇವ್.—ಸಂಜಯ್ ಗಾಂಧಿಯ ಕಾಕದ್ ಬಲ್ಲ್ ಆಪ್ ಮೂಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

SRI M. MALLAPPA.—He is duty bound to maintain discpline in the hospital. He is not expected to talk about politics while on duty. That cannot be tolerated even for a moment,

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—So far as a Government employee has misbehaved is concerned the superior authorities have taken action. The question is, as reported in the press, whether there was a necessity for the M.L.A. to assault him for a casual talk about which the superior authorities are entitled to take action ?

SRI M. MALLAPPA. You are imagining he was assaulted which is not borne out by facts. He has not complained.

SRI S. R BOMMAI.—He is a Government employee. You must put yourself in the position of a Government employee. Particularly when a ruling party M.L.A. is involved and he is assaulted at the instigations of the ruling party M.L.A. how can he lodge a complaint? The question is you must institute an enquiry so that the matters be

cleared up. You yourself have admitted that he has gone to the casualty ward for treatment and not for any specific work. When he talked about political misbehaviour it is reported he was assaultep and made to bend before the M.L.A. and apologise. All these incidents you have suppressed. Of course that is not your realm and it was the Home Minister who should have answered.

SRI M. MALLAPPA.— The very fact he has not given complaint shows .....

SRI GOVINDA P. VADEYARAJ. The hospital authorities have prevented him for lodging a complaint.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—He has not complained because of the circumstances which are known to everybody. Your own statement indicates that he has been assaulted and so went for treatment to the casualty ward.

SRI M. MALLAPPA —I have given clarification that there is no complaint. Please don't imagine that he went to casualty ward merely for treatment.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—In the context of your own statement though there is no entry in the register, he went to the casualty ward for treatment. Otherwise why should he go? You can verify from the records. I ask you to hold an impartial enquiry into it to know whether the M.L.A. is right the employee is right.

SRI C. M. IBRAHIM.—I have a submission to make. I am the Chairman of the Board of Visitors and I am an M. L. A. from that constituency.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.—You have not signed the call attention notice. So, please sit down.

SRI C. M. IBRAHIM.—It has happened in my constituency. I am the Chairman of the Board of visitors. I cannot allow it happen.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.—As you cannot all w I also cannot allow you to talk. We shall go to the next item.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ.ಎಂ. ಇಬ್ರಾಹಿಂ.—ತಾವು ಟಿ.ಎನ್. ರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್‌ಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಹಾಕದಿದ್ದರೂ ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ? ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ನನಗೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಿ. ಇದು ನನ್ನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಾಗಿ ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ವಿಷಯ. ನಾನು ಒಂದೇ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟೀಕರಣ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಗೌಡ.--ಯಾವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯೇ ಆಗಲೀ ಸಂಜಯಗಾಂಧಿ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಶ್ರೀ ಅರಸುರವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ.....

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.--ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು, ನೀವೇನು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರನ್ನು ಓದಿಲ್ಲವೇ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಇಬ್ರಾಹಿಂ ರವರೇ ನೀವು ಸಿಗ್ನೇಚರ್ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರೆ ನಿಮಗೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ, ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಮುಗಿಯಿತು. ಈಗ ರೂಲ್ ೩೧೨ರ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ.

MATTER UNDER RULE 312 :

(i) re : Scarcity of drinking water in some Taluks of Tumkur District.

† ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಲ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ತಿಪಟೂರು).--ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಿಣಿಯವರೇ, ಶಿರಾ, ಪಾವಗಡ, ಕೊರಟಗೆರೆ, ತಿಪಟೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮಧುಗಿರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರಿನ ಅಭಾವ ಇದೆ. ಜನ ಜೀವನದ ಅಗತ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಪೂರೈಸುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ. ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ ಈ ವೇಳೆಗಾಗಲೇ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೨ ಇಂಚು ಮಳೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು; ಮತ್ತು ಹೋದ ಬೇಸಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಡ ನೀರು ಬಹಳ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇತ್ತು. ಈ ವರ್ಷವಂತು ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಮಳೆಯೇ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಷ್ಟು ವೇಳೆಗಾಗಲೇ ೧೨ ಇಂಚು ಮಳೆಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ತತ್ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಕಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಅಭಾವ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೀವ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾದ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಯಂತೆ ಭಾವಿಸಿ ಉತ್ತರ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಬೇಡಿಕೆ ದಿನೇದಿನೇ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಲೀ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ ? ತಂದಿದ್ದರೆ ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ? ಈ ಎಲ್ಲ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್ (ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).--ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ೧೯೭೮-೭೯ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನವರೆಗೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ೨,೧೨೭ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೋಡಲಾಗಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೬೮.೧೦ ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನೂ ೧೮ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯು ಪ್ರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿವೆ. ಈಗ ಹಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಳಪಡಿಸುವ ಅಥವಾ ಹೂಳು ತೆಗೆಸುವ ಮತ್ತು ದುರಸ್ತಿ ಪಡಿಸುವ ಮುಂತಾದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಯ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಳಪಡಿಸಬೇಕೋ ಅಥವಾ ದುರಸ್ತಿಪಡಿಸಬೇಕೋ ಅಂತಹ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಯ ನಿಧಿಯಿಂದ ಈ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗನೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಕ್ತ ಆದೇಶ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆದೇಶ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನಿಧಿಯಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದುವರೆವಿಗೂ ಒಟ್ಟು ೨,೩೬೫ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಯಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅದರ ಪೈಕಿ ೧೯೭೪ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳು ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಿ ಈ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾದ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೈಚಾಲಿತ ಪಂಪುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಳವಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾದ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳಿಂದ ಒಟ್ಟು ೧.೮೪೩ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಮಜರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳು ಪ್ರಯೋಜನ ಪಡೆದಿವೆ.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳು ಅಂದರೆ -ಪಾವಗಡ, ಶಿರಾ, ಕೊರಟಗೆರೆ ತಿಪಟೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಮಧುಗಿರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ'' ಇದುವರೆವಿಗೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೊಳವೆ ಬಾವಿಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.